

Complaints Policy (Exams)

2023/24

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved/reviewed by

Date of next review October 2024

Key staffinvolved in the policy

	1//	
Head of centr	Mr N Rutherford	
SLT members	Mr I Cornell – other SLT members as required	
Exams officer	Mrs C Boyles	

Purpose of the policy

This policy confirms The Thomas Hardye School's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (sections 5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints policy which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate;
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions;
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body;
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body;
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to decide whether to request a review of centre assessed marks.
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via the Exams Manager to the centre's internal appeals procedure);
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure.

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor;
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements;
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form);
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply;
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it;
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment;
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment;
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment.
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer via the Exams Manager to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer);
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment;
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment;
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry.

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place;
- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam;
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room;
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations;
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment;
- Disruption during exam/assessment;
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported;
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale;
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

Results and Post-results

- Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results;
- Cardidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry;
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations;
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body post-results services);
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via Exams Office to the centre's internal appeals procedure);
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate;
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service;
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission.

Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, The Thomas Hardye School encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance. A concern or complaint should be made in person, by telephone or in writing to the head of centre.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

- A complaint should be submitted in writing by completing a complaints form (attached);
- Completed forms should be returned to the Exams Office;
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 5 working days.

How a formal complaint is investigated

- The head of centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion;
- The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant within 2 working weeks.

Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted:

- Any appeal must be submitted by following the centre's internal appeals procedure and completing an internal appeals form.
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 5 calendar days;
- The appeal will be referred to a special Committee for consideration as detailed in the centre's internal appeals procedure;
- The Chair of Committee will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in accordance with the internal appeals procedure.

Complaints form

FOR CEN	FOR CENTRE USE ONLY				
Date received					
Reference No.					

Please tick lox to indicate the nature of your complaint

Complaint against the centre's Complaint against the centre's	delivery of a qualification	cation
Name of complainant		
Candidate name if different to complainant		
Please state the grounds for your compla	aint below	
		_
If your grounds for complaint are lengthy, p detail such as dates, names etc. and provide	any evidence you may have to sup	keep to the point and include relevant port what you say ally or overleaf if hard copy being completed
Detail anysteps you have already taken resolution to the issue(s)		
Complainant signature:	D	ate of signature:

This form must be completed in full; an incomplete form will be returned to the complainant

Complaints log

On receipt, all complaints are assigned a reference number and logged. Outcome and outcome date is also recorded.

Ref No.	Date received	Complainant name	Outcome	Outcome date
				-
			4	
				-
-				
			1	
			1	
				-

